FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: HB0124 Title: User charge to fund Law Enforcement Academy

Primary Sponsor: Olson, A **Status:** As Amended in House Committee

Sponsor signature	Date	Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director Date		
Fiscal Summary				
		FY 2004	FY 2005	
Expenditures:		<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>	
General Fund		(\$1,103,400)	(\$1,106,952)	
State Special Revenue		\$1,103,400	\$1,106,952	
Revenue:				
State Special Revenue		\$1,278,122	\$1,278,122	
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:		(\$1,103,400)	(\$1,106,952)	
Significant Local Gov. Impact		☐ Technical Concerns		
Included in the Executive Budget		Signi	Significant Long-Term Impacts	
Dedicated Revenue Form Attached			Needs to be included in HB 2	

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1. The Montana Law Enforcement Academy (MLEA) within the Department of Justice is primarily funded with general fund.
- 2. HB 124 creates a state special revenue account that will replace the general fund appropriation for MLEA in the amount of \$1,103,400 in FY 2004 and \$1,106,952 in FY 2005. The recommended Executive Budget already includes this fund switch and the general fund balance sheet was adjusted for the savings.
- 3. In 2001 there were 228,236 cases filed in courts of limited jurisdiction, excluding civil cases and small claims cases.
- 4. Of these cases a total of \$641,246 was collected for a \$5 court surcharge for the Court Information Technology. This represents a 56 percent (\$641,246 / \$5 / 228,236) collection rate, which will be used for this fiscal note.
- 5. Assuming this surcharge is imposed on all required individuals, a total of \$1,278,122 (228,236 x \$10 x .56) will be collected, forwarded to the Department of Revenue, and deposited into the MLEA state special revenue account.
- 6. The Department of Justice will borrow from other funds within the agency until the revenues are collected in the early stages of the fund switch.

Fiscal Note Request HB0124 , As Amended in House Committee (continued)

FISCAL IMPACT:

Department of Justice

Program 18 – Division of Criminal Investigation

210 g . m. 20 211	FY 2004 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2005 <u>Difference</u>
<u>Funding of Expenditures:</u>		
General Fund (01)	(\$1,103,400)	(\$1,106,952)
State Special Revenue (02)	\$1,103,400	\$1,106,952
Revenues:		
State Special Revenue (02)	\$1,278,122	\$1,278,122
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue min	us Funding of Expenditures):	
General Fund (01)	(\$1,103,400)	(\$1,106,952)
State Special Revenue (02)	\$174,722	\$171,170

DEDICATION OF REVENUE:

a) Are there persons or entities that benefit from this dedicated revenue that do not pay? (please explain)

Fiscal Note Request HB0124 , As Amended in House Committee (continued)

Yes, this revenue will be used to train Montana law enforcement officers. All Montanans benefit from having properly trained law enforcement officers.

b) What special information or other advantages exist as a result of using a state special revenue fund that could not be obtained if the revenue were allocated to the general fund?

None.

- c) Is the source of revenue relevant to current use of the funds and adequate to fund the program activity that is intended? __X_Yes ___No (if no, explain)
- d) Does the need for this state special revenue provision still exist? X Yes No (Explain)
- e) Does the dedicated revenue affect the legislature's ability to scrutinize budgets, control expenditures, or establish priorities for state spending? (Please Explain)

No.

f) Does the dedicated revenue fulfill a continuing, legislatively recognized need? (Please Explain)

Yes.

g) How does the dedicated revenue provision result in accounting/auditing efficiencies or inefficiencies in your agency? (Please Explain. Also, if the program/activity were general funded, could you adequately account for the program/activity?)

The source of revenue does not impact the accounting for this program.